Response Action	Remedial Technology	Description	Implementablity	Effectiveness	Status
Source Area Saturated Soils	No Action/Institutional Controls	Leave soils in place. Place AUL on Site to manage soils.	Could be implemented as a temporary solution. Not likely to permanently abate residual product within the source area.	Would not be effective in the achievement of a permanent solution unless coupled with groundwater abatement.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis to be coupled with groundwater alternatives.
	Excavation and Thermal Treatment or Off-Site Disposal	Excavate source area; dewater excavated material, and treat off-site using thermal process to vaporize contaminants from media or transport and dispose of off-site in landfill.	Compatible with site conditions. Moderately difficult to implement.	Effective at removing impacted soils and treating off-site. Ability to achieve a permanent solution.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis
	Pump and Treat	Groundwater extracted via pumping wells, collection trench or other means would be treated using a representative technology such as chemical/UV, liquid phase carbon. Treated groundwater would be discharged to surface water or reinjected.	Compatible with site conditions by installing injection and extraction well network.	Pump and Treat Systems installed in heterogeneous aquifers typically result in channelization of groundwater flow to the pumping well; limiting influence on source area. Ability to achieve a temporary solution.	Eliminated from further consideration.
	Air Sparging/Soil Vapor Extraction	The injection of air or steam into groundwater promotes the degradation/volatilization of dissolved phase contaminants. Extraction wells are installed to recover the volatilized or dissolved contaminants.	Compatible with site conditions by installing extensive well network.	Silt layer identified across site may cause channelization of air stream. Removal of VOCs below silt layer will be limited by diffusion rates. Does not have the ability to achieve a permanent solution.	Eliminated from further consideration.
	Bioremediation	Injection of carbon, nutrients and other materials necessary to promote microbial anaerobic degradation of CVOCs. May promote source area dissolution.	Could be implemented by installing wells/infiltration gallery to facilitate the injection of carbon solution into the aquifer.	Could reduce groundwater concentrations to levels necessary to achieve a Permanent Solution. Bench scale study indicates it would be effective.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis.
	In-Situ Chemical Oxidation	The injection of an oxidizing agent to chemically breakdown chlorinated VOCs to water, carbon dioxide, and chloride.	Could be implemented by installing wells/infiltration gallery to facilitate injection of oxidants into the aquifer.	Could reduce groundwater concentrations to levels necessary to achieve a permanent solution. Bench scale study indicates it would be effective.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis.
	In Situ Thermal Treatment	Heating of subsurface groundwater to enhance degradation and volatilization. Techniques include Radio Frequency (RF), Six-Phase, Three-Phase, Steam and Conductive Heating.	Difficult to propagate heat through large impacted areas. Appropriate only in source area.	Could reduce groundwater concentrations to levels necessary to achieve a permanent solution in source area, too expensive to treat downgradient plume.	Carried forward for detailed analysis in source area only.
	Injectable ZVI	The injection of ZVI to chemically breakdown CVOCs to water and chloride via abiotic degradation pathways.	Compatible with site conditions by installing well network. Bench scale and pilot studies have not been conducted.	Could reduce groundwater concentrations to levels necessary to achieve a permanent solution. Bench scale study would be required to determine if effective.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis.

Response Action	Remedial Technology	Description	Implementablity	Effectiveness	Status
Groundwater	Monitored Natural Attenuation	Natural processes of degradation, dispersion, dilution, volatilization and adsorption. Subsurface chemical reactions would be monitored and modeled to evaluate long-term trends.	Could be implemented through monitoring to establish consistency with existing site conceptual model and overall decrease in concentrations over time.	Reaction rate may be limited by concentrations of total organic carbon in groundwater. Ability to achieve a temporary solution.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis.
	Bioremediation	Injection of carbon, nutrients and other materials necessary to promote microbial anaerobic degradation of CVOCs.	Could be implemented by installing wells/infiltration gallery to facilitate the injection of carbon solution into the aquifer.	Could reduce groundwater concentrations to levels necessary to achieve a Permanent Solution. Bench scale study indicates it would be effective.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis.
	Pump and Treat	Groundwater extracted via pumping wells, collection trench or other means would be treated using a representative technology such as chemical/UV, liquid phase carbon. Treated groundwater would be discharged to surface water or reinjected.	Compatible with site conditions by installing injection and extraction well network.	Pump and Treat Systems installed in heterogeneous aquifers typically result in channelization of groundwater flow to the pumping well; limiting influence on subsurface. Ability to achieve a temporary solution.	Carried forward for consideration in detailed analysis
	Permeable Reactive Barrier	The injection media to intercept downgradient extent of plume. Reductant to chemically breakdown CVOCs to water and chloride via abiotic degradation pathways.	Bench scale and pilot studies have not been conducted. Implementability is uncertain and may be difficult over large areas.	Could reduce groundwater concentrations to levels necessary to achieve a Permanent Solution. Alternate reductants and/or combinations could be considered to increase duration and effectiveness of treatment.	Eliminated from further consideration

Table 2

Detailed Cost Estimate for No Action/Institutional Controls Alternative for Source Area Saturated Soils Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	nit Cost (\$)	Notes	Cost (\$)
A. Construction Activities					
Preparation of AUL and	1]	Lump Sum	n \$30,000		\$30,000
Soils Management Plan					
		Conti	ngency - 15%		\$4,500
	Estima	ted Const	ruction Cost		\$34,500
B. Annual O&M Cost					
Sample wells	20	well	\$750	(a)	\$15,000
Data compilation and review	1 1	Lump Sum	n \$2,500		\$2,500
			Subtotal		\$17,500
		Cont	ingency 15%		\$2,625
	Estimat	ed Annua	l O&M Cost		\$20,125
C. Present Worth					
Present Worth of Construction C	osts				\$34,500
Present Worth of O&M	30	Years			\$267,000
I	Estimated Pre	sent Wor	th (rounded)	(b)	\$300,000

Notes:

(a) Sampling costs based on sampling 10 wells semi-annually for VOCs by Method 8260. Labor is included.

(b) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary.

Table 3 Detailed Cost Estimate for Excavation of Source Area Saturated Soils Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	Unit Cost (\$) Notes	Cost (\$)
A. Construction Activities				
Excavation				
Mobilization	1	Lump Sum	\$57,500	\$57,500
Sheeting (~10,000 sf.)	1	Lump Sum	\$345,000	\$345,000
Groundwater Handling and Treatment	100,000	gallon	\$0.58	\$57,500
Excavation, Bracing and Backfill (~4,500 yd3)	1	Lump Sum	\$345,000 (a)	\$345,000
Transportation and Disposal	2,550	Ton	\$80	\$204,000
Demobilization	1	Lump Sum	\$34,500	\$34,500
			subtotal	\$1,043,500
Oversight				
Labor	13	week	\$7,500	\$97,500
Sampling Analytical for Disposal	10	Each	\$1,500	\$15,000
			subtotal	\$112,500
Design				
Labor	1	Lump Sum	\$30,000	\$30,000
			subtotal	\$30,000
		Estimated	Construction Cost	\$1,186,000
Contingency - %15				\$177,900
			Total	\$1,363,900
C. Present Worth				
Present Worth of Construction Costs				\$1,363,900
Present Worth of O&M	0	Years		\$0
		Estimated Presen	t Worth (rounded) (b)	\$1,400,000

Notes:

(a) T&D costs assume the waste will be disposed of as a U-listed waste

No monitoring activities were included in this option. It is assumed the Site will be monitored as part of downgradient plume activities.

(b) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 4 Detailed Cost Estimate for Bioremediation in Source Area Saturated Soils Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Notes	Cost (\$)
A. Construction Activities					
Well Network					
Injection Well Installation - 2"	24	Each	\$1,395	(a)	\$33,483
Monitoring Well Installation -2"	6	Each	\$1,845	. /	\$11,071
Equipment					
Pump	4	Each	\$500		\$2,000
Hose, Fittings	1	Lump Sum	\$3,500		\$3,500
Labor					
Field Geologist	1	Each	\$12,960		\$12,960
Project Engineer	1	Each	\$9,600		\$9,600
Design					
ERM Labor	1	Each	\$35,000		\$35,000
		Estimated	Construction Cost		\$107,614
B. Annual Injection Cost					
Labor					
Technician	2	Injection	\$19,440	(b)	\$38,880
Project Engineer	2	Injection	\$21,600		\$43,200
Oversight	2	Injection	\$9,360		\$18,720
Substrate					
Mixing Tank	3	Injection	\$4,500		\$13,500
Misc Equipment	2	Lump Sum	\$3,000		\$6,000
Substrate	17640	lb	\$1.55		\$27,386
Nutrients	20	50lb bag	\$60		\$1,200
Water	3	Lump Sum	\$600		\$1,800
			Cost Year 1 and 2 ost Year 3 thru 10		\$150,686 \$75,343
C. Annual Monitoring Costs					
Sample wells - semi-annually	36	well	\$530		\$19,080
Field Parameter Monitoring - Quarterly	168	well	\$200	(c)	\$33,600
Analytical Cost	36	Lump Sum	\$460	(•)	\$16,560
Injection data compilation and review	1	Lump Sum	\$15,000		\$15,000
	Estimated An	nual Monitoring	Cost Year 1 and 2		\$84,240
	Estimated Annu	al Monitoring C	ost Year 3 thru 10		\$50,640
			nnual Total Year 1		\$342,540
	Estir		nnual Total Year 2 otal Year 3 thru 10		\$234,926 \$125,983
0	2.501		U U U U		
Contingency - 15%					\$237,800
C. Present Worth Present Worth of Construction Costs and Year 1 Inje	ection				\$393,921
Present Worth of O&M	8	Years			\$1,160,926
	E	stimated Present	t Worth (rounded)	(d)	\$1,600,000

(a) ERM assumes wells installed to a depth of 30 feet.

(b) ERM assumes 2 injections per year due to groundwater velocity of 0.5 ft/day.

(c) ERM assumes field parameter monitoring will be conducted during injection of soybean oil solution.

(d) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 5 Detailed Cost Estimate for ISCO in Source Area Saturated Soils Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

ently 60 3 60 8.0 1	Wells Wells Each Days Each	\$234 \$1,395 \$234 \$1,625	(a)	\$14,030 \$4,185 \$14,030 \$13,000
60 3 60 8.0	Wells Each Days	\$1,395 \$234 \$1,625	(a)	\$4,185 \$14,030
3 60 8.0	Wells Each Days	\$1,395 \$234 \$1,625	(a)	\$4,185 \$14,030
60 8.0	Each Days	\$234 \$1,625		\$14,030
8.0	Days	\$1,625		
	-			\$13,000
1	Each			φ1 <i>3</i> ,000
1	Each			
		\$35,000		\$35,000
	Estimated Co	onstruction Cost		\$80,245
10	Days	\$3,438		\$34,375
26	Darr	¢= 1==		¢195 (05
36	Days	\$5,156		\$185,625
5	Days	\$3,438		\$17,188
5	Duys	ψ3,430		\$17,100
12,500	Pound	\$2	(b)	\$21,563
12,500	Lump Sum	\$1,725	(0)	\$3,450
	I.			
41	Day	\$150		\$6,150
41	Day	\$75		\$3,075
41	Day	\$100		\$4,100
44	Each	\$150		\$6,600
2	Lump Sum	\$3,220		\$6,440
2	Lump Sum	\$2,300		\$4,600
	-			\$3,536
41	5			\$2,829
2	•	\$863		\$1,725
2	Lump Sum	\$1,380		\$2,760
2	Lump Sum	\$604		\$1,208
Estimated Injection Cost				\$305,223
36	well	\$531		\$19,125
100	well	\$200		\$20,000
36	well	\$200		\$7,200
1	Lump Sum	\$20,000		\$20,000
1	Lump Sum	\$25,000		\$25,000
Esti	mated Annual	Monitoring Cost		\$91,325
				\$194,808
				\$456,030
9	Years			\$732,000
	2 2 2 36 100 36 1 1 Esti	41 Day 41 Day 2 Lump Sum 2 Lump Sum 2 Lump Sum 56 well 100 well 36 well 1 Lump Sum 1 Lump Sum 55 Estimated Annual 1 9 Years	41 Day \$86 41 Day \$69 2 Lump Sum \$863 2 Lump Sum \$1,380 2 Lump Sum \$604 Estimated Injection Cost 36 well \$200 36 well \$200 36 well \$200 36 well \$2000 1 Lump Sum \$20,000 1 Lump Sum \$25,000 Estimated Annual Monitoring Cost	41 Day \$86 41 Day \$69 2 Lump Sum \$863 2 Lump Sum \$1,380 2 Lump Sum \$604 Estimated Injection Cost 36 well \$531 100 well \$200 36 well \$200 36 well \$200 1 Lump Sum \$20,000 1 Lump Sum \$25,000

Notes:

(a) ERM assumes wells installed to a depth of 30 feet.
(b) NOD value used obtained from sample MW-552 (18 - 20 feet) 2.14 g/kg

(c) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 6 Detailed Cost Estimate for Thermal Treatment in Source Area Saturated Soils Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Notes	Cost (S)
A. Design Activities					
Structural Survey	1	Lump Sum	\$1,100		\$1,100
Design Permitting and Work Plan (Subcontractor)	1	Lump Sum	\$44,000		\$44,00
		Estima	ted Design Cost		\$45,10
B. Construction Activities					
System Preparation and Operation (Subcontractor)				(a)	
Mobilization	1	Lump Sum	\$38,500		\$38,500
Drill and install wells	1	Lump Sum	\$206,800		\$206,80
Vapor cover installation	1	Lump Sum	\$22,000		\$22,00
Electrical construction	1	Lump Sum	\$35,200		\$35,20
Mechanical construction	1	Lump Sum	\$31,900		\$31,90
Vapor and water treatment system	1	Lump Sum	\$250,800		\$250,80
Commissioning	1	Lump Sum	\$38,500		\$38,50
Maintenance hardware etc.	1	Lump Sum	\$67,100		\$67,10
Labor, travel, per diem	1	Lump Sum	\$74,800		\$74,80
Power	1	Lump Sum	\$96,800		\$96,80
Sampling and analysis	1	Lump Sum	\$16,500		\$16,50
Waste and GAC	1	Lump Sum	\$22,000		\$22,00
Rental and fees	1	Lump Sum	\$22,000		\$22,00
Demobilization	1	Lump Sum	\$33,000		\$33,00
Reporting	1	Lump Sum	\$16,500		\$16,50
Office support	1	Lump Sum	\$152,900		\$152,90
Licensing fees	1	Lump Sum	\$48,400		\$48,40
Indirect Costs	1	Lump Sum	\$182,600		\$182,60
Other Costs			60.000		60.00
Interim and Final Structural Survey and Reporting	1	Lump Sum	\$3,800		\$3,80
Project Management	5	Month	\$7,500		\$37,50
Thermal system data compilation, review, reporting	5	Month	\$7,500		\$37,50
NAPL Disposal	1	Lump Sum	\$5,000		\$5,00
Effluent Piping to Stormwater Drain	1	Lump Sum	\$2,000		\$2,00
Permitting (Bldg., POTW/NPDES)	1	Lump Sum	\$2,000		\$2,00
Discharge sampling	12	event	\$105		\$1,26
Sample wells - semi-annual	10	Well	\$730		\$7,30
Analytical Cost	10	Well	\$200		\$2,00
			Subtotal		\$1,454,66
Contingency (15%)					\$218,19
		Estimated Co	onstruction Cost		\$1,672,85
C. Annual Groundwater Monitoring Cost					
Sample wells - semi-annual	36	Well	\$730	(b)	\$26,28
Analytical Cost	36	Well	\$200		\$7,20
Groundwater data compilation, review, reporting	1	Lump Sum	\$5,000		\$5,00
1 1 0		1	Subtotal		\$38,48
Contingency (15%)					\$5,77
	Esti	imated Annual N	Aonitoring Cost		\$82,73
D. Present Worth					
					CAE 10
Design Activities					\$45,10
Present Worth of Construction Costs	-			, .	\$1,672,85
Present Worth of O&M	5	Years		(c)	\$363,00

Notes:

(a) Treatment Area of 40' x 60'

(b) Assumed 18 samples per area semi-annually for monitoring with lab analysis for VOCs by 8260.

(c) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 7 Detailed Cost Estimate for Injectable ZVI in Source Area Saturated Soils Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item N	lo. of Units	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Notes	Cost (\$)
A. Construction Activities					
Construct Infiltration Trench					
Subcontractor	1	Days	\$3,450	(a)	\$3,45
Materials	1	Lump Sum	\$2,875		\$2,87
Supervision	4.0	Days	\$1,625		\$6,50
			subtotal		\$12,82
Contingency - 15%					\$1,92
		Estimated Co	nstruction Cost		\$14,74
B. Injection Program					
Preparation					
Labor	3.0	Days	\$3,438		\$10,31
Injection (Assume 8 Injections over Six (6) Months Appl		-			
Labor	18.0	Days	\$5,156		\$92,81
Geoprobe	18	Days	\$2,300		\$41,40
Flash Mixer	18	LS			
PPT	18.0		\$7,475		\$7,47
rr1	10.0	Days	\$1,000		\$18,00
Decommissioning					
Labor	3	Days	\$3,438		\$10,31
Materials					
Iron	28100	Pound	\$29		\$807,87
Delivery (GOD, single event)	1.0	Lump Sum	\$40,394		\$40,39
	1.0	Europ Sum	010,001		010,00
Equipment					
Pumping Skid and Eductor	18	Day	\$300		\$5,40
Trailer	18	Day	\$75		\$1,35
Generator	18	Day	\$100		\$1,80
Health and Safety	60.0	Each	\$150		\$9,00
Hose, Fittings, Disposables	1.0	Lump Sum	\$3,220		\$3,22
Forklift	1	Lump Sum	\$1,725		\$1,72
Misc Equipment	1	Lump Sum	\$2,300		\$2,30
Tankage (1 1,000 gal)	1	Lump Sum	\$1,725		\$1,72
Secondary Containment	1	Lump Sum	\$1,725		\$1,72
Tank Delivery	1	Lump Sum	\$575		\$57
Water (allowance)	9	Lump Sum	\$144		\$1,29
Trash Disposal	1	Lump Sum	\$604		\$60
			subtotal		\$1,059,29
Contingency - 15%					\$158,89
		Estimated	Injection Cost		\$1,218,19
C. Annual Monitoring Costs (Assume One Area Only)					
Sample wells - semi-annually	36	well	\$531	(a)	\$6,37
Quarterly field parameter sampling (Year 1 and 2 or	48	well	\$200		\$9,60
Analytical Cost	36	well	\$200		\$2,64
Reporting Cost	1	Lump Sum	\$15,000		\$15,00
Injection data compilation and review	1	Lump Sum	\$15,000		\$15,00
			subtotal		\$48,61
Contingency - 15%					\$7,29
	Estim	ated Annual M	Ionitoring Cost		\$55,90
D. Present Worth					
Present Worth of Construction Costs and Year 1 Injecti	on				\$1,232,94
Present Worth of Annual Monitoring	5	Years		(b)	\$200,00
0			orth (rounded)		\$1,400,0

Notes:

(a) Assumed 18 samples per area (total of 20) semi-annually for monitoring with lab analysis for VOCs by 8260.

(b) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 8Detailed Cost Estimate for Natural Attenuation AlternativeFormer Raytheon Property - Northern AreaWayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	nit Cost (\$)	Notes	Cost (\$)
A. Construction Activities					
		• ~	**• • • • • •		## 0.000
Baseline model	1	Lump Sum	\$50,000		\$50,000
Contingency - 20%					\$10,000
	Estima	ated Const	ruction Cost		\$60,000
B. Annual O&M Cost					
Sample wells	30	well	\$750	(a)	\$22,500
Data compilation and review	2	Lump Sum	\$2,500		\$5,000
Model calibration and review		Lump Sum			\$5,000
			Subtotal		\$32,500
		Contin	gency (20%)		\$6,500
	Estimat	ted Annua	I O&M Cost		\$39,000
C. Present Worth					
Present Worth of Construction C	osts				\$60,000
Present Worth of O&M	30	Years			\$518,000
Ι	(b)	\$600,000			

Notes:

(a) Sampling costs based on sampling 15 wells semi-annually for VOCs by Method 8260. Labor is included.

(b) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 9Detailed Cost Estimate for Pump and Treat AlternativeFormer Raytheon Property - Northern AreaWayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	Unit Cost (\$) Not	tes Cost (\$)		
A. Construction Activities						
Mobilization	1	Lump Sum	\$20,000	\$20,000		
Source Area Extraction Wells	2	Per Well	\$4,300	\$8,600		
Downgradient Extraction Wells	6	Per Well	\$6,500	\$39,000		
Extraction Pumps	8	each	\$5,000	\$40,000		
Pump Controls	8	each	\$2,500	\$20,000		
Piping	1	Lump Sum	\$25,000	\$25,000		
Treatment System Building	1	Lump Sum	\$50,000	\$50,000		
Heater	1	Lump Sum	\$5,000	\$5,000		
5000 gal. Equalization Tank	1	each	\$6,500	\$6,500		
Particle Filter	2	Lump Sum	\$3,000	\$6,000		
Air Stripper w/ Blower	1	each	\$85,000	\$85,000		
Vapor Phase Carbon w/ Regeneration	1	Lump Sum	\$100,000	\$100,000		
Liquid Phase Carbon	1	Lump Sum	\$50,000	\$50,000		
Transfer Pumps	7	each	\$1,000	\$7,000		
Compressor	1	each	\$5,000	\$5,000		
Effluent Piping	1	Lump Sum	\$10,000	\$10,000		
Equipment Installation, including oversight	1	Lump Sum	\$200,000	\$200,000		
Control Panel	1	Lump Sum	\$35,000	\$35,000		
Utilities (elect., telephone, water)	1	Lump Sum	\$5,000	\$5,000		
Design						
ERM Labor	1	Each	\$35,000	\$35,000		
			Subtotal	\$752,100		
Contingency - 15%				\$150,420		
		Estimated (Construction Cost	\$902,520		
B. Annual O&M Cost						
Sample wells	30	well	\$750 (a	\$22,500		
Regeneration Liquid Disposal	12	drums	\$350	\$4,200		
Utilities	1	year	\$5,000	\$5,000		
O&M Labor	52	week	\$2,000	\$104,000		
			Subtotal	\$135,700		
Contingency (15%)				\$27,140		
		Estimated Annual O&M Cost				
C. Present Worth						
Present Worth of Construction Costs				\$902,520		
Present Worth of O&M	30	Years		\$2,162,000		
N. /	Est	timated Present	Worth (rounded) (b	\$3,100,000		

Notes:

(a) Sampling costs based on sampling 15 wells semi-annually for VOCs by Method 8260. Labor is included.

(b) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

Table 10 Detailed Cost Estimate for Bioremediation Alternative Former Raytheon Property - Northern Area Wayland, MA

Remedial Cost Item	No. of Units	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Notes	Cost (\$)
A. Construction Activities					
Well Network					
Injection Well Installation - 2"	8	Each	\$1,395	(a)	\$11,161
Monitoring Well Installation -2"	2	Each	\$1,395	(1)	\$2,790
c					
Equipment			* =00		*1 000
Pump	2	Each	\$500		\$1,000
Hose, Fittings	1	Lump Sum	\$1,500		\$1,500
Labor					
Field Geologist	1	Each	\$8,640		\$8,640
Project Engineer	1	Each	\$4,800		\$4,800
Desire					
Design	1	E. d.	¢20.000		¢20.000
ERM Labor	1	Each	\$30,000		\$30,000
		Estimated	Construction Cost		\$59,891
B. Annual Injection Cost					
Labor					
Technician	2	Injection	\$8,640		\$17,280
Project Engineer	2	Injection	\$9,600		\$19,200
Oversight	2	Injection	\$4,160		\$8,320
Substrate					
Mixing Tank	2	Injection	\$450		\$900
Misc Equipment	1	Lump Sum	\$3,000		\$3,000
Substrate	5880	ĺb	\$1	(b)	\$5,880
Nutrients	8	50lb bag	\$60		\$480
Water	2	Lump Sum	\$900		\$1,800
	Estimated Annual Injection Cost Year 1 and 2				
			Cost Year 3 thru 10		\$56,860 \$28,430
C Annual Monitoring Costs					
<i>C. Annual Monitoring Costs</i> Sample wells - semi-annually	30	well	\$530		\$15,900
Field Parameter Monitoring - Quarterly	92	well	\$200		\$18,400
Analytical Cost	30	well	\$460		\$13,800
Data compilation and review	1	Lump Sum	\$15,000		\$15,000
	Estimated An	nual Manitaning	Cost Year 1 and 2		\$63,100
			Cost Year 3 thru 10		\$44,700
		Estimated Ar	nnual Total Year 1		\$179,851
			nnual Total Year 2		\$119,960
	Esti		otal Year 3 thru 10		\$73,130
Contingency - 15%					\$132,728
C. Present Worth					
Present Worth of Construction Costs and Year 1 Inj	ection				\$206,829
Present Worth of O&M	9	Years			\$705,960
			t Worth (rounded)	(c)	\$900,000

Notes:

(**b**) ERM assumes 2 injections per year.

(c) The above cost estimate is intended for comparison of the alternatives, not for budgeting or contracting purposes. Actual costs will vary. Supplemental investigation activities and detailed-design phases would provide the specific information needed to increase the accuracy of the cost estimates.

⁽a) ERM assumes wells installed to a depth of 30 feet.